Interview Summary

Application No. **09/068,528**

Applicant(s)

Koizumi et al.

Examiner

Manjunath N. Rao

Group Art Unit 1652



| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): |
|---|
| (1) <u>Manjunath N. Rao</u> (3) |
| (2) Mr. Lawrence Perry (4) |
| Date of Interview Jul 18, 2001 |
| Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) _Yes e) Yo. If yes, brief description: |
| |
| Claim(s) discussed: |
| Identification of prior art discussed: |
| |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NM. |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: |
| Applicant was informed that the supplemental amendment filed on 7-9-01 in reponse to the final rejection of the above |
| application has been received by the Examiner and is now under consideration. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |
| |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/068,528

Koizumi et al.

Examiner

Manjunath N. Rao

Group Art Unit 1652

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe | ersonnel): |
|--|--|
| (1) Manjunath N. Rao | (3) |
| (2) Mr Lawrence Perry | (4) |
| Date of Interview | - |
| Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) | applicant's representative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) | <u>Mo</u> . If yes, brief description: |
| | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | |
| | |
| other comments: Applicant was informed that the supplemental amendment fil | ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any led on 7-9-01 in reponse to the final rejection of the above inder consideration. |
| | |
| | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendm available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the ame summary thereof must be attached.) | nents which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, endments that would render the claims allowable is available, a |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate | e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). |
| INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO | AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |
| | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.